The purpose of my testimony is to set forth, in

summary form, the Staff's findings, and

24

25

Α.

recommendations resulting from our review of the Company's fuel adjustment clause operation for the period July 1995 through March 1996. These findings and recommendations are set forth in detail in the Staff's report.

- Q. WHAT WAS THE SCOPE OF YOUR AUDIT?
- A. The Accounting Department Staff traced the fuel information, as filed in the Company's required monthly filing, to the Company's books and records. The audit covered the period July 1995 through December 1995. The purpose of the audit was to determine if Carolina Power & Light Company had computed and applied the monthly Fuel Adjustment Clause in accordance with the approved clause. To accomplish this, Staff examined the components surrounding the operation of the clause.
- Q. WHAT WERE THE STEPS THAT THE STAFF EMPLOYED WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE AUDIT?
- A. The examination consisted of the following:
 - 1. An Analysis of Account # 151, Fuel Stock
 - Sample of Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account,
 Account # 151
 - 3. Verification of Charges to Nuclear Fuel
 Expense, Account # 518

-3-

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29203

24

25

for the period July 1995 through December 1995. obtained the details of purchases and sales made by Carolina Power & Light Company to and from other electric utilities and verified the amounts which are being used in computing total fuel cost for each Furthermore, in accordance with PSC Order No. month. 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, Staff was specifically directed to examine the Company's nonfirm, off-system sales to preclude any possible problems in this area and to include language in its audit procedures to address these matters. Accordingly, Staff chose two test months, July and August 1995, to examine the sales and purchases processes virtually from beginning to end, especially Staff traced on Economy A and B type transactions. the sales and purchases transactions for July and August 1995 to the Company's typed Daily System Dispatch Sheets (the Company no longer does handwritten Daily System Dispatch Sheets) and to the monthly invoices. Staff recomputed all sales and purchases, including the split-the-savings costs of Economy A and B transactions. In accordance with Public Service Commission Order No. 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E. dated October 18, 1990, Staff will continue to review these types (nonfirm, off-system) of

transactions during future audits.

Staff's review of KWH sales included verification of total system sales as filed in the monthly factor computation. Staff prepared exhibits from Carolina Power & Light Company's books and records reflecting coal costs during the review period. Specifically, these exhibits are as follows:

Exhibit A - Coal Cost Statistics (and Weighted

Average of Coal Received)

Exhibit B - Received Coal - Cost Per Ton Per Plant
Exhibit C - Received Coal - Cost Per Ton Comparison
With reference to Exhibit A, Coal Cost Statistics,
Staff has reflected a detailed analysis of spot and
contract coal for the six-month period July 1995
through December 1995. The detail gives emphasis to
tons received, cost per ton received, total received
cost, percentage of tons received and cost per MBTU.
Also, in Exhibit A, the Weighted Average of Coal
Received is reflected for the six-month period. In
Exhibits B and C, Staff reflects a comparison of coal
cost on a per ton basis. Exhibit B is a comparison
between Carolina Power & Light's plants and Exhibit C
is a comparison between companies.

Staff analyzed the cumulative over-recovery of fuel costs that the Company had incurred for the period

25

July 1995 through December 1995, totaling \$356,149. Staff added the projected under-recovery of \$578,805 for the month of January 1996, the projected under-recovery of \$193,306 for the month of February 1996, and the projected over-recovery of \$598,525 for the month of March 1996 to arrive at an cumulative over-recovery of \$182,563 as of March 1996. Company's cumulative over-recovery as of March 1996, per its testimony in Docket No. 96-001-E, totals \$150,079. The difference between the Company's and the Staff's cumulative over-recovery totals \$32,484. This difference is based on Staff's correction (per Staff's report) of some miscalculated Purchased Power Costs for August 1995. The effect of the correction on the deferred fuel account, on a S.C. jurisdictional basis, reduced the under-recovery for August 1995 from \$1,221,374 (per the Company's books and records) to \$1,188,890, which resulted in a difference of \$32,484. The Company's correction of \$32,484 to the cumulative balance of the deferred account will be reflected in the Company's January 1996 per book figures. As stated in Carolina Power & Light's S.C. Retail Adjustment for Fuel Costs Rider, fuel costs will be included in base rates to the extent determined reasonable and proper by the Commission for the

succeeding six months or shorter period. Accordingly, the Commission should consider the over-recovery of \$182,563 along with the anticipated fuel costs for the period April 1, 1996 through September 30, 1996, for the purpose of determining the base costs of fuel in base rates effective April 1, 1996. The \$182,563 over-recovery figure was provided to the Commission's Utilities Department. Refer to Exhibit G, South Carolina Fuel Costs Computation, for details of the over-recovery computation.

- Q. MRS. CHERRY, WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT'S AUDIT?
- A. Based on the Staff's examination of Carolina Power & Light Company's books and records, a comparison of fuel costs among utilities, and the utilization of the fuel costs recovery mechanisms as directed by this Commission, the Accounting Department is of the opinion that the Company has complied with the directives of the Commission.
- Q. MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU IDENTIFY THE REMAINING
 EXHIBITS CONTAINED IN YOUR REPORT WHICH HAVE NOT
 BEEN IDENTIFIED?
- A. The exhibits are as follows:

Exhibit D - Coal Fuel Stocks - Number of Days of Supply (All Plants)